

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO Box 1430 Alexascins, Virginia 22313-1450 www.nepto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/812,124	03/29/2004	Ashutosh Dutta	APP 1530	2187	
9941 TELCORDIA	9941 7590 10/15/2009 TELCORDIA TECHNOLOGIES, INC.			EXAMINER	
ONE TELCORDIA DRIVE 5G116			ZHU, BO HUI ALVIN		
PISCATAWAY, NJ 08854-4157			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2465		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			10/15/2009	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Application No. Applicant(s) 10/812 124 DUTTA ET AL. Office Action Summary Examiner Art Unit BO HUI A. ZHU 2465 -- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS. WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 03 August 2009. 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 1-14 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) 1-10 is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 11-14 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are; a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abevance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-982)
2) Notice of Drattepsen's Patient Drawing Review (PTO-948)
3) Information-Disclosure-Statement(e)(PTO-952(08))
5] Notice of Drattepsen's Patient Drawing Review (PTO-948)
5] Notice of Drattepsen's Patient Drawing Review (PTO-948)
5] Notice of Information Patient At Flication
6] Other:

Attachment(s)

Application/Control Number: 10/812,124 Page 2

Art Unit: 2465

DETAILED ACTION

Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

1. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on August 3, 2009 has been entered.

Response to Amendment

2. The amendment filed on August 3, 2009 has been submitted.

Claims 1 - 14 are pending.

Claims 1 - 10 are allowed

Claims 11 - 14 are rejected.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 3. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 - The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.
- Claims 11 14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter

Art Unit: 2465

which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention.

Independent claim 11 recites "means for gathering IP address information from users of non-mobile device," This subject matter was not described in the original specification of the application. All of the dependent claims of claim 11 are rejected as well for the same reason.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- Claim 11 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Rezaiifar
 (US 2004/0085931) in view of Dommety et al. ("Fast Handovers for Mobile IPv6", pages
 9 14) and further in view of Peshkin (US 2002/0078128).
 - (1) with regard to claim 11:

Rezaiifar discloses a system and method, comprising: an information gateway (14 on Fig. 1A) associated with a first mobile coverage area (coverage area of 14, 6 and 8 on Fig. 1A) said information gateway including first storage means for storing a list of

Application/Control Number: 10/812,124

Art Unit: 2465

available IP addresses in said first mobile coverage area (each PDSN contains a pool of addresses that are assigned to it to be used only in its coverage area).

Rezailfar does not disclose means for gathering IP address information from users of non-mobile devices and storing the IP addresses in according with said gathered information; and dynamically updating said list of available IP addresses.

Dommety et al. teaches dynamically updating addresses (page 14, section 3.1.3, 2nd paragraph, "If the new care-of-address is legal and acceptable to the new access router it adds it to the neighboring Cache...").

It would have been desirable to dynamically update the addresses stored because it would make the system more efficient by preventing connection failure, packet loss or other deficiencies from occurring due to changes in the network thus ensure the proper operation of the devices in the network.

Peshkin teaches means for gathering IP address information from users of non-mobile devices and storing the IP addresses in according with said gathered information (e.g. see paragraph [0021]; gateway 208 stores the IP address of the local computer 206).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the system of Rezaiifar to include the means for gathering IP address information from users of non-mobile devices and storing the IP addresses in according with said gathered information as shown in Peshkin in order to make communication between gateways and users more efficient.

Art Unit: 2465

 Claims 12 – 14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Rezaiifar (US 2004/0085931) in view of Dommety et al. ("Fast Handovers for Mobile IPv6", pages 9 - 14) and Peshkin (US 2002/0078128) and further in view of Johnson et al. (US 6.625.135).

(1) with regard to claims 12 - 14:

Rezaitfar does not disclose having an address conflict resolution means associated with each of said first information gateway and said second information gateway, wherein said address conflict resolution means comprises an ARP mechanism, wherein said ARP mechanism is selected from the group consisting of proxy ARP, inverse ARP, reverse ARP and DHCP ARP.

Johnson et al. teaches using proxy ARP (column 4, line 66 - column 5, line 10).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to use proxy ARP because it would make allow users on different networks to be able to communicate with one another without having to know each other's physical address, which would simplify the design of the network and make the network more efficient. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to include a proxy ARP in the system of Rezaiifar.

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 1 – 10 are allowed.

Response to Arguments

 Applicants' arguments regarding claims 1 – 10 have been fully considered and they are persuasive. The rejections of claims 1 – 10 under U.S.C 103(a) have been withdrawn.

New grounds of rejections have been made to address the amendments made to claims 11 – 14 by Applicants.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to BO HUI A. ZHU whose telephone number is (571)-270-1086. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Thu 10am-6pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Jay Patel can be reached on (571)-272-2988. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 2465

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/B. A. Z./ Examiner, Art Unit 2465

/Jayanti K. Patel/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2465